



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

1/1

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,872	02/16/2001	Rocky Stewart	BEAS-01033US4	6323
23910	7590	02/06/2006	EXAMINER	
FLIESLER MEYER, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2154

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/785,872	STEWART ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ashok B. Patel	2154

All Participants:

(1) Ashok B. Patel.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Karl F Kenna.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2006

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

1, 11, 33 and 39 in light of Application No. 09/906, 658 for double patenting.

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 33 and 39

Prior art documents discussed:

Notani (US 6, 1 19, 149 and Application No. 09/906,658)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant) **Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate**

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Claims 1, 11, 33 and 39 were amended to include the limitation which resulted in the allowance of the co-pending Application No.09/906,658. The discussion included obviousness type double patenting and filing terminal disclaimer. Applicant's representative agreed to file terminal disclaimer to obviate adouble patenting rejection over a pending Application No. 09/906,658. .